

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

	42.30		CATE	Washington, I		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
SERI	AL NUMB	ER FILING DATE	FIRS	T NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNET DOCKET NO.
Section 1	MAN I	NARRY R CHOM	CGANI .	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
Egra, retrain	ENTH I	47 1 20 4		,	$10000\Delta M_{\odot} \Omega$	
		REET, N.W.	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	, , , , ,		EXAMINER
WASH	TNGTO	N. D.C. 3083	16		991	
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	٠.					:
	1.	•	43 St.	•		63704787
		•			DATE MAILE	O:
Celou is	a communica	ation from the EXAMINER	in charge of this applica	tion ·		
	0122111100	DRER OF PAIERIS AND TRAD	حاربو عاديا كمعهم	iangemeer laagi estat.	ries et e	
<i>.</i>	'n.,		DZIVDA	RY ACTION		
11.	·	<i>j</i> ::::::::	The mental of the first			•
				and a second of the second of		. 5.
THE	PERIOD F	OR RESPONSE:	and the second second	i y r y for a transfer and transfer a		
a		nded to run	<i>f</i>			
 				he date of the final Re		Adda.
, U				date of the final Reje		e of this Advisory Action
	1.136(a) Detition determin	accompanied by the and the fee have aing the period of	e proposed response to the di extension and the co	and the appropriate fee ate of the response and rresponding amount of t	obtained by f The date of also the dat the fee.	* }
						e shortened statutory peri
App	ellant's	Brief is due in acc	cordance with 37 CFR	1.192(a). The brief s	hould be dire	cted to the rejection of
	📆	response to the fir	- nal rejection, filed	•		
eff	ect, but	it is not deemed to	o place the applicat	ion in condition for al	nas been cons lowance:	idered with the following
1.						
,	a 🗆	•		pecification will not b	e entered and	• •
	a b. 	There is no convince earlier presented. They raise new issu	cing showing under 3 ues that would requir ue of new matter. (pecification will not b 7 CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideration See Note).	brobozed aneu	•
	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed they are not deemed simplifying the issumplifying the	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applicates for appeal.	pecification will not b 7 CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideratio see Note). cation in better form f	or appeal by	dment is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or
	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed they are not deemed simplifying the issumplifying the	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applicates for appeal.	pecification will not b 7 CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideratio see Note). cation in better form f	or appeal by	daent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or
	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed they are not deemed simplifying the issumplifying the	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applicates for appeal.	pecification will not b 7 CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideratio see Note). cation in better form f	or appeal by	daent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or
	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed they are not deemed simplifying the issumplifying the	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applicates for appeal.	pecification will not b 7 CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideratio see Note). cation in better form f	or appeal by	daent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or
	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed they are not deemed simplifying the issumplifying the	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applicates for appeal.	pecification will not b 7 CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideratio see Note). cation in better form f	or appeal by	daent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or
	a b. c. d. d. e. ANOTE:	There is no convince earlier presented. They raise new issumed the issume the	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applicates for appeal.	recification will not b of CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideration see Note). cation in better form for cancelling a correspon	or appeal by	dment is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
· _	a b. c. d. d. NOTE:	There is no convince earlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed the issumed they are not deeper simplifying the issumed they present additionally in the issumed the interest additionally in the issumed they present additionally in the issumed they are additionally in the issumed the interest additiona	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 d to place the applicates for appeal.	recification will not but of CFR 1.116(b) why the refurther consideration (see Note).	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o	dment is necessary and war ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
_	a	There is no convint earlier presented. They raise new issues the issues the issues the issues the issues the issues the issuestimplifying the issues they present additionally the issuestimplifying t	ues that would require of new matter. (to to place the applications for appeal. Lonal claims without the claims applications appeal.	recification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o	dment is necessary and wa ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
_	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convince earlier presented. They raise new issumed they raise the issumed they are not deeper simplifying the issumed they present additionally proposed or amended ent cancelling the he filing of an agon	ues that would require of new matter. (the top lace the applications for appeal. I claims the proposed as the	recification will not be T CFR 1.116(b) why the Tree further consideration Tree Note). Tree Note). Tree Note is a corresponsion. Tree Note is a corresponsion. Tree Note is a corresponsion.	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o	dment is necessary and wa ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
_	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the issume the issume they are not deemed simplifying the issume they present additionally proposed or amended ent cancelling the the filing of an appairs in this applic	ues that would require of new matter. (to to place the applications for appeal. Lonal claims without the claims applications appeal.	recification will not be T CFR 1.116(b) why the Tree further consideration Tree Note). Tree Note). Tree Note is a corresponsional concelling a corresponsional	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o	dment is necessary and wa ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
_	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the issume the issume they are not deemed simplifying the issume they present additionally proposed or amended ent cancelling the filing of an appairs in this applications.	ues that would require of new matter. (the top lace the applications for appeal. I claims the proposed as the	recification will not be T CFR 1.116(b) why the Tree further consideration Tree Note). Tree Note). Tree Note is a corresponsional concelling a corresponsional	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o	dment is necessary and wa ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
_	b	There is no convint earlier presented. They raise new issume the filing of an appairs in this application.	ues that would require of new matter. (the top lace the applications for appeal. I claims the proposed as the	recification will not be T CFR 1.116(b) why the Tree further consideration Tree Note). Tree Note). Tree Note is a corresponsional concelling a corresponsional	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o	dment is necessary and wa ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims.
-	a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the issume the issume they are not deemed simplifying the issume they are not deemed and its in the present additional and its in this application of claims: Objected to: Tejected:	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 to place the applications for appeal. In a claims without the claims of claims used, the proposed amation would be as for a power of the claims used.	recification will not but of CFR 1.116(b) why the refurther consideration see Note). Cation in better form for cancelling a correspon would be allowed the recommend will be considerated the recommendation will be considerated.	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit } will not be	ch. (See Note). Materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed , entered and the status of
	a b c d e NOTE: ANOTE: Anendan Upon ti the claims Claims Claims a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the filing of an appaint in this application of claims: Objected to: Tejected: Tejected: The rejection of cl	ues that would require of new matter. (the proposed and action would be as for appeal, the proposed and action would be as for appeal and action would be as for appeal.	pecification will not but of CFR 1.116(b) why the refurther consideration size Note). The cancelling a corresponding a corresp	proposed amen n and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit	dhent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of
	a b c d e NOTE: ANOTE: Anendan Upon ti the claims Claims Claims a	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the filing of an appaint in this application of claims: Objected to: Tejected: Tejected: The rejection of cl	ues that would require of new matter. (the proposed and action would be as for appeal, the proposed and action would be as for appeal and action would be as for appeal.	pecification will not but of CFR 1.116(b) why the refurther consideration size Note). The cancelling a corresponding a corresp	proposed amen n and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit	dhent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of
	B	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the issume raise and its included and raise and raise in this application of claims: objected to: rejected: fowever; fine rejection of claims response. The rejection of claims response.	ues that would require of new matter. (to do place the applications for appeal. I claims to claims without the proposed amontallowable claims arion would be as for appeal.	pecification will not be I CFR 1.116(b) why the re further consideration Gee Note). Cation in better form for a cancelling a correspon would be allowed be allowed by the content will be content will be content on references is on non-reference	proposed amen or and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit) will not be deemed to be grounds only	dhent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome
	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the issume the issume they are not demensiablifying the issume they are not demensiablifying the issume they present additionally the filing of an appairs in this application of claims: objected to: rejected: lowever; l	ues that would require of new matter. (% d to place the applications for appeal. It claims without it claims mon-allowable claims arison would be as for appeal.	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit) will not be deemed to be grounds only	dment is necessary and was ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed mentered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome
	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the issume the issume they are not demensiablifying the issume they are not demensiablifying the issume they present additionally the filing of an appairs in this application of claims: objected to: rejected: lowever; l	ues that would require of new matter. (% d to place the applications for appeal. It claims without it claims mon-allowable claims arison would be as for appeal.	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit) will not be deemed to be grounds only	dment is necessary and was ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed mentered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome
	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issues the issues the issues they are not deeper simplifying the issues they present additionally the issues they present additionally the issues they present additionally the filing of an appairs in this application of claims: objected to: rejected: lowever; lo	ues that would require of new matter. (% of to place the applications of new matter) of the control of the cont	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen or appeal by ding number o wed if submit) will not be deemed to be grounds only red but does r not shown good	dment is necessary and was ch. (See Mote). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed mentered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and and sufficient reasons
]	a	There is no convinter are in the presented. They raise new issumed in the present additional are in the proposed or amended and cancelling the proposed or amended and cancelling the filing of an appains in this applic of claims: Objected to: Tejected: Objected to: Tejected: Objected to: Tejection of claims: Objected to: Tejected: Objected to: Tejected: Objected to: Tejected:	cing showing under 3 uses that would require of new matter. (3 d to place the applications for appeal. In the control of claims without in the claims without in the claims without in the claims will be as for appeal of claims are also will not be admitted ted. FR 1.136(a) for	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen in and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit) will not be deemed to be grounds only red but does r not shown good	dhent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and and sufficient reasons
O An eo	b	There is no convince arlier presented. They raise new issume the i	ues that would require of new matter. (% of to place the applications for appeal. It is claims without it claims without it claims mon-allowable claims mon-allowable claims without be as for appeal. It is claims mon-allowable claims mon-allowable claims mon-allowable claims arison would be as for equest for reconsitions will not be admitted ted. FR 1.136(a) for conducted on conducted on conducted matter.	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the Tofr 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen or and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit) will not be grounds only red but does r not shown goo	dhent is necessary and was ch. (See Note). materially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and and sufficient reasons
An es durir Depos	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convint earlier presented. They raise new issume the i	ues that would require of new matter. (% of to place the applications for appeal. It claims without it claims mon-allowable claims meal, the proposed amation would be as for appeal it claims it claims mon-allowable claims meal, the proposed amation would be as for a proposed amation would be a proposed	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen n and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit] will not be deemed to be grounds only red but does re not shown good s was requested manissioner will manissioner will manissioner will	ch. (See Note). naterially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and sufficient reasons
An e; durir Depos	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convint earlier presented. They raise new issume the i	ues that would require of new matter. (% d to place the applic sues for appeal. Lonal claims without lonal claims without non-allowable claims eat, the proposed amation would be as for appeal to the proposed amation would be as for a proposed amation would be a	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen proposed amen n and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit will not be deemed to be grounds only red but does r not shown got swas requeste paraissioner will commissioner will commissi	ch. (See Note). naterially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and sufficient reasons authorized to charge
An e; durir Depos	a b. c. d. d. d. d. d. d. d	There is no convint earlier presented. They raise new issume the i	ues that would require of new matter. (% d to place the applic sues for appeal. Lonal claims without lonal claims without non-allowable claims eat, the proposed amation would be as for appeal to the proposed amation would be as for a proposed amation would be a	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen proposed amen n and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit will not be deemed to be grounds only red but does r not shown got swas requeste paraissioner will commissioner will commissi	ch. (See Note). naterially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and sufficient reasons authorized to charge
An e; durir Depos	b	There is no convinterally a presented. They raise new issues the issues the issues they are not deened simplifying the issues they are not deened simplifying the issues they are not deened and its in the issues they present additionally and its in this application of claims: Objected to: Tejected: Tejected: Telever: The rejection of claims: Tejected: Tesponse. The rejection of claims response. The rejection of clayplicant's response.	cing showing under 3 ues that would require ue of new matter. (3 d to place the applications of claims without I claims non-allowable claims meal, the proposed amation would be as for aims	pecification will not be TOFR 1.116(b) why the TOFR 1.116(b) why t	proposed amen proposed amen n and/or sear or appeal by ding number o wed if submit will not be grounds only red but does r not shown good s was requested paraissioner with	ch. (See Note). naterially reducing or f finally rejected claims. ted in a separately filed entered and the status of overcome by applicant's is deemed to be overcome not overcome the rejection and sufficient reasons authorized to charge